


I know how a floor runs. I build what it's missing.

Operations, analytics and software. Most of it done beyond my job title, all of it real.



BUILT FOR THE FLOOR • CARDS 01-02

Momoc Portal

An office platform that started as my locker system: lockers, training meetings, quality disputes, activity tracking, team graphs and a personal hub.

6 modules • ~800 Lockers • approved by the VP




RAN THE FLOOR • CARD 03

Running operations

Weekly and monthly business reviews, shift programs, training and quality sessions, all beyond my job title.

500+ people scheduled • sample review inside



BUILT ON MY OWN • CARD 04

Logs by HLTG

A live analytics platform and desktop app for online game teams. I also lead a 20+ person team that uses it.

394k+ attempts analysed • 125+ teams

CARD 05 • WHERE I FIT

Four kinds of work where I could help, and one problem I'll build a fix for in two weeks.

A SHORT NOTE

I'd like your guidance.

Today I work as an agent on your floor. Before this, at my previous company, I was occasionally trusted with work well beyond my title: weekly and monthly business reviews, shift programs for 500+ people, training and quality sessions, and a locker system I came up with after seeing how poorly the office lockers were managed.

The Vice President allowed me to build it, and it grew into a portal with five tools for the floor: lockers, Virtual Meetings, dispute forms, graph dashboards and software that detects work-avoiders.

In my free time I build software. One project is a live analytics platform that 125+ teams use every week for a competitive online game.

I'm not asking for a title today. I'm asking where someone with these skills could be most useful here, and what I'd need to show to get there.

Mihai

01	Locker system	BUILD · PITCH
02	Momoc Portal	TRAINING · QA · ACTIVITY
03	Running operations at my previous company	WBR · WFM · QA
04	Logs by HLTG	ANALYTICS · LEAD
05	Where I could help	ROLES · BUILD

BUILD · BUSINESS CASE

Locker system

A self-service platform for ~800 office lockers. My own idea, approved by the project Vice President.

THE PROBLEM

Hundreds of people across shifts sharing lockers tracked by hand. Nobody knew what was free, who held what, or for how long.

WHAT I DID


- Self-service: pick a locker or get one at random, per shift, with favourites.
- Manager tools: assign and unassign, live floor map, a full audit log exportable to CSV.
- Analytics: peak usage, floor utilisation, trends, expiring lockers, availability forecast.
- Presented it to stakeholders from HR to the Vice President.

THE RESULT


Live and approved up to the hardware stage, a rollout of about €100,000 for the whole office. It was paused when the office budget was cut.

WHAT IT MEANS FOR YOU


I can take a problem from a hallway complaint to a funded business case, and explain it to HR, Ops and a VP in their own words.



Manager view: analytics for both floors. Demo data.



Employee view



Audit log

~800
LOCKERS COVERED

€100k

HARDWARE ROLLOUT APPROVED
TO

HR → VP
STAKEHOLDERS PRESENTED TO



SCAN FOR SCREENSHOTS
momocmihaiionut.pages.dev/#locker
Employee view, admin view and analytics.

OPERATIONS PLATFORM

Momoc Portal

The locker system grew into a portal for the whole floor. One login, five tools and a personal hub, built around how an operation actually runs.

VIRTUAL MEETINGS

A training hub: scheduled, live, avatar (text-to-speech) and manager meetings, with completion tracked per person.

DISPUTES

Agents dispute a quality audit. Managers approve or reject it, with market, audit week and policy line on record.

WORK-AVOIDERS

A browser extension flags fake activity (held keys, mouse jigglers, rapid repeats) by severity and shift. Exports to CSV.

GRAPHS


Where the floor's time goes: production, meetings, coaching, breaks, from the whole company down to each team leader.

MY SPACE


Each person's own hub: their locker, shift, team lead, disputes, meeting history, uploads and a private notepad.

WHAT IT MEANS FOR YOU


I don't wait for someone else to build what the floor needs. I build it, then hand it over.




Virtual Meetings




Disputes




Work-Avoiders



Graphs



My Space



My Space: meeting history

- Next.js
- PostgreSQL
- Google + Microsoft sign-in
- Real-time updates
- Browser extension
- Text-to-speech



SCAN FOR SCREENSHOTS
momocmihaiionut.pages.dev/#portal
Every module, full size.

OPERATIONS · REPORTING · PEOPLE

Running operations

At my previous company I was given work usually done by team leads, workforce planning and quality. No title, same standard.

BUSINESS REVIEWS

Prepared and presented weekly and monthly business reviews: KPIs, trends, team performance, and what to change next.

WORKFORCE PLANNING

Built shift programs covering 500+ employees, balancing coverage against people's real constraints.

TRAINING AND QUALITY

Ran training sessions and quality sessions to keep the team aligned with how the work should be done.

WHAT IT MEANS FOR YOU

I already know the rhythm of an operation: the review cadence, the schedule, the quality loop. You wouldn't have to teach me why they matter.

SAMPLE WEEKLY BUSINESS REVIEW

12 slides from one review

TOP SECRET Volume up 6% and handle time on target. Quality and service level slipped, for reasons we can fix this week. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>What well</th> <th>Missed target</th> <th>Decisions needed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> 40,000 handled, up 6% on week 40 - Handle time 4.2, under the 5.00 target - Customer satisfaction steady at 4.4 </td> <td> - Quality 76.4% vs 80%: was wrong, not sorry - Service level 78.4% vs 80%: close at agent's hand - Adherence 78.0% vs 75%: the 1.00% more easy </td> <td> - Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	What well	Missed target	Decisions needed	40,000 handled, up 6% on week 40 - Handle time 4.2, under the 5.00 target - Customer satisfaction steady at 4.4	- Quality 76.4% vs 80%: was wrong, not sorry - Service level 78.4% vs 80%: close at agent's hand - Adherence 78.0% vs 75%: the 1.00% more easy	- Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	SECRET Four of six targets missed, all by small margins <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Measure</th> <th>Target</th> <th>Week 41</th> <th>Week 42</th> <th>Change</th> <th>Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Customer handled</td> <td>41,000</td> <td>42,232</td> <td>+4.0%</td> <td>No target</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average handle time</td> <td>5.00</td> <td>4.52</td> <td>-1.4%</td> <td>No target</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Service level (SL)</td> <td>80%</td> <td>78.4%</td> <td>-1.6%</td> <td>Below target</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quality index</td> <td>80%</td> <td>76.4%</td> <td>-3.6%</td> <td>Below target</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adherence</td> <td>75%</td> <td>78.0%</td> <td>+2.5%</td> <td>Below target</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Customer satisfaction</td> <td>4.4</td> <td>4.4</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>On target</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Absentee</td> <td>4.0%</td> <td>3.8%</td> <td>-0.2%</td> <td>Below target</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	Measure	Target	Week 41	Week 42	Change	Status	Customer handled	41,000	42,232	+4.0%	No target		Average handle time	5.00	4.52	-1.4%	No target		Service level (SL)	80%	78.4%	-1.6%	Below target		Quality index	80%	76.4%	-3.6%	Below target		Adherence	75%	78.0%	+2.5%	Below target		Customer satisfaction	4.4	4.4	0.0%	On target		Absentee	4.0%	3.8%	-0.2%	Below target		TOP SECRET Most contacts in 8 weeks, handled faster 48,210 Up 15.0% faster than week 41 9 seconds faster than week 41 Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table																	
What well	Missed target	Decisions needed																																																																							
40,000 handled, up 6% on week 40 - Handle time 4.2, under the 5.00 target - Customer satisfaction steady at 4.4	- Quality 76.4% vs 80%: was wrong, not sorry - Service level 78.4% vs 80%: close at agent's hand - Adherence 78.0% vs 75%: the 1.00% more easy	- Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual - Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																							
Measure	Target	Week 41	Week 42	Change	Status																																																																				
Customer handled	41,000	42,232	+4.0%	No target																																																																					
Average handle time	5.00	4.52	-1.4%	No target																																																																					
Service level (SL)	80%	78.4%	-1.6%	Below target																																																																					
Quality index	80%	76.4%	-3.6%	Below target																																																																					
Adherence	75%	78.0%	+2.5%	Below target																																																																					
Customer satisfaction	4.4	4.4	0.0%	On target																																																																					
Absentee	4.0%	3.8%	-0.2%	Below target																																																																					
TOP SECRET The quality dip is one team and one policy <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Team</th> <th>Quality</th> <th>Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Team 1</td> <td>87.4%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 2</td> <td>87.0%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 3</td> <td>82.0%</td> <td>Below target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 4</td> <td>87.0%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> KEY EVENTS At 16:00, Team 3's adherence was a critical policy 4.4, updated to 3.4. Outcome: Team 3 agent was not evaluated on 1 point. Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	Team	Quality	Status	Team 1	87.4%	On target	Team 2	87.0%	On target	Team 3	82.0%	Below target	Team 4	87.0%	On target	TOP SECRET We were short of agents over lunch 78.4% 78.4% target, 78.4% actual 6 hours 6 hours, 6 hours, 6 hours, 6 hours, 6 hours, 6 hours Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	TOP SECRET Absence is the one people number off track <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Measure</th> <th>Target</th> <th>Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Absentee</td> <td>4.0%</td> <td>Below target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quality</td> <td>80%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Service level</td> <td>80%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adherence</td> <td>75%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Customer satisfaction</td> <td>4.4</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	Measure	Target	Status	Absentee	4.0%	Below target	Quality	80%	On target	Service level	80%	On target	Adherence	75%	On target	Customer satisfaction	4.4	On target																																						
Team	Quality	Status																																																																							
Team 1	87.4%	On target																																																																							
Team 2	87.0%	On target																																																																							
Team 3	82.0%	Below target																																																																							
Team 4	87.0%	On target																																																																							
Measure	Target	Status																																																																							
Absentee	4.0%	Below target																																																																							
Quality	80%	On target																																																																							
Service level	80%	On target																																																																							
Adherence	75%	On target																																																																							
Customer satisfaction	4.4	On target																																																																							
TEAM Team 3 is behind most of the misses <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Team</th> <th>Agent</th> <th>Handle time</th> <th>Quality</th> <th>Adherence</th> <th>Absentee</th> <th>Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Team 1</td> <td>25</td> <td>4.4%</td> <td>87.4%</td> <td>80.0%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 2</td> <td>25</td> <td>4.4%</td> <td>87.0%</td> <td>80.0%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 3</td> <td>25</td> <td>4.4%</td> <td>82.0%</td> <td>80.0%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>Below target</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 4</td> <td>25</td> <td>4.4%</td> <td>87.0%</td> <td>80.0%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>On target</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	Team	Agent	Handle time	Quality	Adherence	Absentee	Status	Team 1	25	4.4%	87.4%	80.0%	0.0%	On target	Team 2	25	4.4%	87.0%	80.0%	0.0%	On target	Team 3	25	4.4%	82.0%	80.0%	0.0%	Below target	Team 4	25	4.4%	87.0%	80.0%	0.0%	On target	LAST WEEK'S ACTIONS Two of three done, one still open <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Action</th> <th>Owner</th> <th>Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 1</td> <td>Done</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 2</td> <td>Done</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 3</td> <td>Open</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	Action	Owner	Status	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1	Done	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2	Done	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3	Open	THIS WEEK'S ACTIONS Five actions, each with an owner and a date <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Action</th> <th>Owner</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 1</td> <td>Team 1</td> <td>Done</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 2</td> <td>Team 2</td> <td>Done</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 3</td> <td>Team 3</td> <td>Open</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 4</td> <td>Team 4</td> <td>Done</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 5: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 5</td> <td>Team 5</td> <td>Open</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	Action	Owner	Date	Status	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1	Team 1	Done	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2	Team 2	Done	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3	Team 3	Open	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4	Team 4	Done	Team 5: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 5	Team 5	Open
Team	Agent	Handle time	Quality	Adherence	Absentee	Status																																																																			
Team 1	25	4.4%	87.4%	80.0%	0.0%	On target																																																																			
Team 2	25	4.4%	87.0%	80.0%	0.0%	On target																																																																			
Team 3	25	4.4%	82.0%	80.0%	0.0%	Below target																																																																			
Team 4	25	4.4%	87.0%	80.0%	0.0%	On target																																																																			
Action	Owner	Status																																																																							
Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1	Done																																																																							
Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2	Done																																																																							
Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3	Open																																																																							
Action	Owner	Date	Status																																																																						
Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1	Team 1	Done																																																																						
Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2	Team 2	Done																																																																						
Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3	Team 3	Open																																																																						
Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4	Team 4	Done																																																																						
Team 5: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 5	Team 5	Open																																																																						
TOP SECRET Two decisions I need from you 1. I need 2 existing agents to the lunch pool for 30 minutes. 2. I need 3 new agents to the lunch pool for 30 minutes. Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	TOP SECRET What we watch in week 43 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Focus</th> <th>Watch</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Weekly business review - Week 41 - Data table	Focus	Watch	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	TOP SECRET How this review is built <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Pull</th> <th>Clean</th> <th>Compare</th> <th>Explain</th> <th>Act</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td> <td>Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual</td></tr></tbody></table>	Pull	Clean	Compare	Explain	Act	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																						
Focus	Watch																																																																								
Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																								
Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																								
Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																								
Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																								
Pull	Clean	Compare	Explain	Act																																																																					
Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 1: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																					
Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 2: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																					
Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 3: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																					
Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual	Team 4: 80% target, 78.4% actual																																																																							

Demo data. Summary, scorecard, deep dives, teams, actions and decisions.



SCAN FOR MORE
momocmihaiionut.pages.dev/#operations
Every slide, full size.

ANALYTICS PLATFORM • TEAM LEAD

Logs by HLTG

Built for World of Warcraft raid teams. The problem is the one every operation has: a team fails, and someone needs to know why, fast.

WHAT I BUILT

- A Discord bot that posts every attempt seconds after it ends.
- Dashboards that break each failure down by step, by person and by role.
- A desktop companion app, an in-game add-on and a nightly data pipeline.

WHO USES IT

125+ teams and 3,600+ players. I build it, run it and support it, alone.

AND I LEAD ONE OF THOSE TEAMS

A 20+ person competitive team: recruiting, role assignment, performance reviews backed by data.

WHAT IT MEANS FOR YOU

I've built, shipped and supported a product with real users, and I lead people with the numbers it produces.

LOGS BY HLTG

Features

How it works

WoW Moments

Join the Discord

Log in with Battle.net

TOOLS

Getting Started

Your Guild

Gallery

Ephemeral Dashboard

Raid Check

Cast Timeline

Cooldown Notes

Frame Look

Mythic Raider Companion

ADDONS

Mythic Raider Tools

MR Talent Importer

Wanna see your guild progress?

GuildRanking

More tools in the works

powered by HLTG Bot

Every pull.
Every death.
Live in Discord.

A Discord bot and live dashboard that turns your guild's WCL reports into real-time graph breakdowns, seconds after each pull. No more alt-tabbing through logs mid-raid. What's killing your raid is already waiting in your guild channel.

Make an Ephemeral Dashboard

View live demo

394,000+ pulls analyzed

900+ casts analyzed

3,600+ raiders checked

200+ companion downloads

You want your own guild Dashboard? Type `/dashboard` in your guild channel

logsbymtg.com

DEATHS BY MECHANIC

HP PROGRESSION

DEATHS BY PLAYER

DEATHS BY CLASS

Midnight Falls

wipe 12.4% 6:12 3 deaths

pull #48

Player1 - Heaven's Glaves 1:20

Player2 - Cosmic Fracture 2:47

Player3 - Heaven's Glaves 4:03

394k+
TEAM ATTEMPTS ANALYSED

125+
TEAMS USING IT

3,600+
PLAYERS CHECKED

200+
DESKTOP APP DOWNLOADS

Python

JavaScript

SQL

Rust

Lua

APIs

Data pipelines

SCAN, IT'S LIVE
logsbyhtg.com
Open it on your phone right now.

WHERE I COULD BE USEFUL

Where I could help

Four kinds of work where the cards before this one already show what I can do. I'd value your view on which fits your floor best.

Reporting and MIS	Dashboards, weekly and monthly reviews, data pulls.	CARDS 02 · 03
Workforce planning	Schedules, coverage and break plans for 500+ people.	CARD 03
Quality and training	Calibration, dispute flows, training and completion tracking.	CARDS 02 · 03
Internal tools and process	Replacing spreadsheets with tools people actually use.	CARDS 01 · 02

Pick one. I'll build it.

A working prototype in two weeks, on my own time, with dummy data.

- ☐ Shift and swap tracker
- ☐ Quality tracker
- ☐ New-hire knowledge check
- ☐ Something that annoys you

YOUR GUIDANCE

Three questions I'd like to ask you

- 1

Which of these roles exist here, and which is closest to an opening?
- 2

What would I need to show, or learn, to be considered?
- 3

Is there one small problem I could solve now, so you can see how I work?

WHAT I BRING

OPERATIONS

Business reviews (WBR, MBR)
Shift and workforce planning
Training and quality sessions
Recruiting and team lead

DATA

Dashboards and KPI reporting
Data pipelines and APIs
Excel

BUILD

Python, JavaScript, TypeScript
React, Next.js
SQL (PostgreSQL, SQLite)
Rust, Lua

LANGUAGES

English, C2
Spanish, C1
Romanian, native

+40 728 869 621 · momocmihaiionut@gmail.com



EVERYTHING IN ONE PLACE
momocmihaiionut.pages.dev
Easy to forward to anyone who should see it.